ARCTIUM MINUS (ASTERACEAE): HISTORICAL REVIEW, ECOLOGICAL CONSEQUENCES, AND ADDITION TO TEXAS FLORA

Jeffrey N. Mink

176 Downsville Road Robinson, Texas 76706-7276, U.S.A. jeffreynormanmink@gmail.com jmink@mclennan.edu

Walter C. Holmes

Department of Biology Baylor University Waco, Texas 76798-7388, U.S.A.

Jason R. Singhurst & Amie Treuer-Kuehn

Wildlife Diversity Program and Landscape Ecology Program Texas Parks and Wildlife Department 4200 Smith School Road Austin, Texas 78744, U.S.A.

ABSTRACT

Arctium minus, a European native, is currently known to have been introduced throughout most of continental United States except for Florida, Delaware, and Texas. The species is reported in Texas in the *Flora of North America*, but without specimen documentation. Numerous historical nomenclature complexities and confusion involved in proper documentation of this species' spread and distribution are discussed. It is here reported as new to Texas, based upon a collection in Oldham County in the northern Panhandle. The species is considered to be weedy and may have the potential to be invasive in the High Plains and Rolling Plains regions of Texas. Brief descriptions of historic distribution and spread based on early botanical literature, and various economic and ecological associations of *A. minus* are summarized.

RESUMEN

Arctium minus, nativa europea, es conocida actualmente por haber sido introducida en la mayor parte de los Estados Unidos continentales excepto en Florida, Delaware y Texas. La especie se reporta de Tejas en la flora de Norteamérica, pero sin documentación de especímenes. Se discuten numerosas complejidades históricas de nomenclatura y confusión involucradas en la documentación apropiada de la propagación y distribución de esta especie. Se reporta aquí como nueva para Tejas, mediante una colección del Condado de Oldham en el norte del Panhandle. Se considera que la especie es una maleza y puede tener potencial para ser invasora en las regiones de las llanuras altas y llanuras onduladas de Tejas. Se resumen breves descripciones de la distribución histórica y distribución basadas en la literatura botánica temprana, y varias consecuencias económicas e implicaciones ecológicas de *A. minus*.

INTRODUCTION

Arctium (Asteraceae) is an Old World genus, of Mediterranean origin, comprising 17 species (Global Compositae Checklist) some of which are widely distributed as ruderal plants, three of which (*A. lappa* L., *A. tomentosum* Miller, and *A. minus* (Hill) Bernardi) are introduced to North America (Keil 2006). *Arctium minus*, common or lesser burdock, also known as *petite bardane* (Ferron & Cayoutte 1975) and *cibourroche* (Moore & Frankton 1974), is a perennial herbaceous plant that is introduced throughout the majority of the continental United States except Delaware, Florida, and Texas (Kartez 2015). Keil (2006), in his treatment of *Arctium* in the Flora of North America, mentions that the species has been reported in both Delaware and Texas, but with this caveat: "I have not seen specimens." The species is listed as a "potential" for the Texas flora (USDA, NRCS 2018), which appears to be assumed from the distribution of the species in neighboring states and the invasiveness of the species in the United States. The present report, however, removes all speculation and tentativeness of the occurrence of the species in Texas.

Geographic Distribution

Arctium minus is native to Eurasia and arrived in the United States via accidental or unintentional introduction. Native distribution of *A. minus* includes continental Europe (excluding southern regions of Italy), British and

J. Bot. Res. Inst. Texas 12(2): 713 - 720. 2018

Mediterranean Islands, and introduced in the Macaronesian islands (Hansen & Sunding 1993). Distributed in Asia (Israel, Lebanon, Syria, Turkey), Georgia, Baltic countries (Tutin et al. 1976; Euro+Med. 2006), Norway, Scandinavia, eastern Turkey to the Caspian Sea, and northwest to Finland (Clapham et al. 1952; Moore & Frankton 1974). *Arctium minus* is distributed along the European part of Russia from Baltic and Lagoda-Ilmen Region south to Crimea and Black Sea and southeast to the Trans-Volga (Shiskin & Bobrov 1998). This plant is a successful global invader with a wide naturalized distribution in North America (Moore & Frankton 1974) and South America, as well as Australia and New Zealand (Webb 1988; Keil 2006; NSW 2018). Areas and timing of invasion are likely sympatric to initial transport areas of domesticated hoofed stock, fire suppression, and subsequent dispersal with anthropogenic expansion and agricultural pursuits (Mink et al. 2010).

The inflorescence of this species resemble those of thistles (*Carduus, Centaurea, Cirsium, Cnicus, Cynara, Echinops, Saussurea*, etc. (all from the Mediterranean-Irano-Turanian [Tethyan] thistle tribe Carduinae of Asteraceae)), but *A. minus* may be distinguished by its more mesophyllous habit with extremely large leaves of up to 50 cm in length and its hooked (uncinate), spiny-tipped involucral phyllaries. Cauline leaves are ovate while lower leaves are cordiform and have very sinuous margins. Petioles are hollow, but sometimes only at the base. The species grows a deep taproot of up to 30 cm in length. *Arctium minus* may attain a height of 1.5 m (or more) and may produce multiple branches (Fernald 1950; Radford et al. 1968), thus appearing bushy. Capitula are prickly and pink to lavender in color and are about 2 cm wide. The plant flowers from July through October.

Voucher specimen. U.S.A. TEXAS. Oldham Co.: Cal Farley's Boys Ranch, 0.5 mi E of Hwy 385 and State Loop 233 on State Loop 233, then N 0.4 mi near upper end of Tascosa Creek, 21 Jun 2017, J.R. Singhurst 21,181 and Amie Treuer-Kuehn (BAYLU).

A single population of *Arctium minus* was growing in a shaded riparian wetland with an overstory that included *Celtis occidentalis, Populus deltoides,* and *Salix nigra* (Figs. 1, 2). About 10–15 plants, clustered in a 10 × 10-meter area were growing in association with *Bidens laevis, Carex emoryi, Eleocharis rostellata, Leersia oryzoides, Teucrium canadense,* and *Verbena scabra.*

This record extends the known distribution of *Arctium minus* into Texas. The nearest known distribution records include Union County, New Mexico, approximately 150 km to the northwest and Woods County, Oklahoma, which is approximately 320 km east-northeast from Oldham County.

DISCUSSION

History of Misdetermination, Indetermination, and Misdistribution

Arctium minus has long been reported as part of Texas flora (USDA 1971; Shetler & Skog 1978; Gross et al. 1980; Hultén & Fries 1986) likely based on ubiquitous distribution in the continental US, as well as various publications from surrounding states. However, lack of a voucher specimen is specifically noted in literature sources (e.g., Keil 2006), thus rendering this claim unsubstantiated and absent from numerous Texas flora descriptions (e.g. Cory & Parks 1937; Gould 1962, 1969; Correll & Johnston 1970; Hatch et al. 1990; Johnston 1990; Diggs et al. 1999; Turner et al. 2003). Based on this lack of voucher documentation, *Arctium minus* was removed from the state flora by Nesom (2009), who speculated on potential for future collection based on existing specimen vouchers from adjacent US states (McGregor et al. 1977; Martin & Hutchins 1980; Smith 1988; Thomas & Allen 1993).

There is an interesting sequence of nomenclatural conventions and documentation of this species' occurrence in North America worthy of reiterating. Josselyn (1672) first noted plants he called "lesser clot-bur" (pg. 44) and "the great clot-bur" (pg. 86), thereby discriminating between two species of *Arctium* in colonial America. Qualifying these plants by "lesser" and "great" terms suggest *A. minus* and *A. lappa*. However, Latinized binomials were not standard, and thus this genus was undescribed until 1753, when *A. lappa* was named by Linnaeus. Based on Josselyn's observations he determined "lesser clot-bur" to be native and "the great clot-bur" as a plant associated with cattle brought from England. Presumably, a difference in abundance was the basis for a native designation for *A. minus*. Josselyn (1672) also recognized agriculture and cattle pursuits as mechanisms of establishment and seed dispersal (Moore & Frankton 1974). This may suggest *A.*



Fig. 1. Photo of Arctium minus in Oldham County, Texas, Salix nigra in background, 21 June 2017 (photograph by J.R. Singhurst).

minus was the first *Arctium* species established in North America, and possibly one of the first Old World exotic species mentioned in the New World.

Arctium minus appears frequently and widespread enough in distribution throughout North America today to be denoted in floral summaries of North America. However, either historic literature accounts lack *A. minus* as part of the flora in regions where it should be expected or nomenclature misapplications complicate evidence of historic dispersal. For example, early North American botanical literature (e.g., Eaton 1836; Darlington 1853) often recognized only *A. lappa* (syn. *Lappa major*), resulting in *A. minus* being absent from certain floristic accounts. However, in *Flora Cestrica* (Darlington 1853), the description of *A. lappa* clearly refers to *A. minus*, signifying that *A. minus* was likely a common species in the northeastern US by the midnineteenth century. Correspondingly, Moore and Frankton (1974) discussed evidence, based on current abundance values, supporting the implication that *A. minus*, and not the reported *A. lappa*, was the species of interest in descriptive accounts of Canadian provincial lands (Gross et al. 1980).

Subsequent botanical studies propagated these earlier complications and nomenclatural obstacles in documenting *A. minus*' relative abundance and continuing distributional expansion. *Arctium lappa* var. *minus* A. Gray (*A. minus*) was cited as "very common" by some early authors (Gray 1889; Dame & Collins 1888) and "relatively uncommon" by others (Macoun 1883; Beal & Wheeler 1892) working in sympatric regions of North America. Billings (1858) inventoried an area in Ontario where he collected and assessed *A. lappa* (syn. *Lappa major*) as "common" in late 1850s (Billings 1858; Penhallow 1897). Over one hundred years later, in 1960, Dore conducted a survey in the same region of Ontario as Billings collected. *Arctium minus* was the only species documented in this inventory and survey of Ontario (Dore 1961; Moore & Frankton 1974). Given that the



Fi6. 2: Photograph of Arctium minus, capitulum with uncinate involucral phyllaries (JRS 21, 181 and ATK, BAYLU), collected in Oldham County, Texas, 21 June 2017. (Scale in cm; photograph by J.N. Mink).

most current botanical work available to Billings at that time (Gray's Manual, 2nd ed., 1856) neglected to list *A. minus*, and presented a sole *Arctium* species (*Lappa major*). It is plausible that *A. minus* was the collective plant of Billings' original floristic description of the area based on existing abundance values (Moore & Frankton 1974).

It is curious that Gross et al. (1980) included *A. minus* as a part of Texas flora in their *Arctium* treatment, as they described a long history of misdetermination and nomenclature complexities of *Arctium* in general and *A. minus* in particular. Several authors discussed discrepancies in classification of *Arctium* species and subspecific status, and varietal or forma designation, in various treatments. This historical classification and disagreement involved all three species in North America (Fernald & Wiegland 1910; Arènes 1950; Moore & Frankton 1974; Keil 2006). However, no known species of naturalized *Arctium* in North America have been known and documented from Texas (Nesom 2009), until now.

Economic Importance

Evaluated by frequency, widespread distribution and ruderal introduction as weedy, this consideration is mostly an aesthetic problem since mechanical cultivation controls this weed in crop fields (Frankton & Mulligan 1970; Moore & Frankton 1974). Because of the biennial/perennial nature of *A. minus*, it tends to be confined in areas not disturbed annually by tilling. *Arctium minus* can become a problematic invader of pastures, hay fields, and open prairie ecosystems (Gross et al. 1980; Swearingen & Bargeron 2016). The role of disturbance in establishment, coupled with its potential for long-distance dispersal, makes heavily grazed areas prime colonization sites. *Arctium minus* appears frequently and widely enough to prompt several western

Mink et al., Arctium minus new to Texas

US states and Canadian Provinces to list the species as noxious (Moore & Frankton 1974; Nawrocki 2010; Kartez 2015). This life history can promote local abundance. However, it has not received continent-wide noxious status.

Georgia (1931) described a predilection dairy cows have for foraging on *A. minus* and how plentiful consumption adulterates milk with a bitter aftertaste, thus rendering the dairy products unmarketable. Steyermark (1963) noted dry capitula clinging to and reducing value of wool, a feature first noted in observations of Old World hoofstock, and enterprisingly made famous by the invention of Velcro®. Without doubt, the most interesting economic aspect about *A. minus* is its hooked (uncinate), spiny-tipped phyllaries which function in attachment and dispersal served as a model for the hook and loop fastener. The idea was conceptualized in 1941, but not marketed until the late 1950s. The inventor of this fastener was George de Mestral, a Swiss engineer. Because of imprecise application of common names and similarity of structure and function, the model for this fastener is sometimes stated to be the cocklebur (*Xanthium strumarium*, Asteraceae) (Levetin & McMahon 2015).

The species of *Arctium*, particularly *A. lappa*, *A. minus*, and *A. tomentosum* are ancient medicinal plants (Shiskin & Bobrov 1998). These plants are popular among many nations, with roots and the seed oil (burdock oil) being used for different health problems (Shiskin & Bobrov 1998). Pharmaceutical applications, or the potential thereof, have recently been described, specifically potent antioxidant properties (Erdemoglu et al. 2009). Long cultivated as a medicinal herb (Gross et al. 1980), *A. minus* is the basis of several treatments in traditional medicinal usage and consumption (Rousseau & Raymond 1945; Moore & Frankton 1974; Fujita et al. 1995). The roots of some *Articum* are edible, root extracts may be used in the food supplement industry, and *A. lappa* may be grown as a minor crop (Keil 2006). Both Old World preparations and aboriginal Americans utilized *A. minus* as a staple food (Yanovsky 1936; Fernald et al. 1958) or tea and coffee alternatives (Hegi 1929; Youngken 1948).

Ecological Considerations

Arctium minus occurs in old fields, prairies, pastures, hay fields, forest clearings, ruderal meadowlands, and shaded moist ground near springs and disturbed wetlands. It has been suggested that the growth habit in bare ground and local density facilitate establishment of other non-native species, as well as *A. minus* recruitment (Gross et al. 1980). Given the large, broad surface areas of mature basal leaves, and *A. minus* height, native vegetation could potentially be out-shaded and suppressed, thereby reducing native floral species diversity and impacting community composition in localized areas of colonization.

Ecologically, *A. minus* has been a part of the flora of the United States for at least 350 years, before any significant collecting surveys were performed, so that some authors considered the plant a natural part of the flora (Josselyn 1672 [cited by Moore & Frankton 1974]). As such, local ecological influences and impacts, in terms of alien plants and invasive disruptions, on native flora and plant community landscapes went undetected.

In contrast, many species of insectivorous bats and birds have been fatally ensnared by the capitula of the fruiting *Arctium*, presenting a dangerous, macabre consequence of this Old World exotic plant on native fauna (Hager et al. 2009). Numerous accounts, for over 100 years, document these fatalities of bird and bat species including important pollinators and insectivorous species across the naturalized distribution of *A. minus* in North America (e.g. Needham 1909; Little 1925; Lyon 1925; Johnson 1933; Raloff 1998; Hendricks et al. 2003; Hinam et al. 2004).

Despite the seemingly negligible effect of single, or sporadic occurrences of bird and bat species affected by *Arctium* entanglement (McNicholl 1988), it potentially poses a serious threat under circumstances of *A. minus* abundance at particular times. *Arctium minus* reaching high densities along pathways of migratory stopovers, fruit development coinciding with fledging periods, or inflorescences attracting hummingbirds in areas lacking putatively preferred nectar-producing plant species. Based on life history of *A. minus* fruiting bodies, fire suppression, or species shift in livestock stocking regimes, (e.g. cattle to goats), risk potential may possibly inflate. The growing number of reports of *A. minus* related deaths may in fact be more significant than previously understood. Identifying those factors or combinations of events facilitating future consequences of this mechanism in Texas is problematic.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank Tim Nation, Ranch Manager of Cal Farley's Boys Ranch, for assistance and for supporting botanical study of this ranch under his management. Additionally, we thank an anonymous reviewer who provided us valuable orthographic revisions, raven-eyed critique and various beneficial editorial comments. Authors also express thanks to Anna Saghatelyan, McMurry University in Abilene, Texas. She provided several notes on general knowledge of Compositae (Asteraceae) and specific expertise in phytogeography of Old World distributions.

REFERENCES

ARÈNES, J. 1950. Monographie du genre Arctium L. Bull. Jard. Bot. Bruxelles 20:67–156.

BEAL, W.J. & C.F. WHEELER. 1892. Michigan flora. Prepared for the Thirtieth Annual Report of the Secretary of the State Board of Agriculture. R. Smith & Co., printers, Lansing, Michigan, U.S.A.

BILLINGS, B. 1858. A list of indigenous plants found growing in the neighbourhood of Prescott, C.W., under the nomenclature of Gray. Canad. Naturalist 3:39–50.

CLAPHAM, A.R., T.G. TUTIN, & E.F. WARBURG. 1952. Flora of the British Isles, 1st ed. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K.

CORRELL, D.S. & M.C. JOHNSTON. 1970. Manual of the vascular plants of Texas. Texas Research Foundation, Renner, Texas, U.S.A.

Corry, V.L. & H.B. PARKS. 1937. Catalogue of the flora of the state of Texas. Texas Agric. Exp. Stat. Bull. 550, Agricultural and Mechanical College of Texas, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.

DAME, L.L. & F.S. COLLINS. 1888. Flora of Middlesex County, Massachusetts. Malden: Middlesex Institute, Massachusetts, U.S.A.

DARLINGTON, W. 1853. Flora Cestrica. Lindsay & Blakiston, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.

DIGGS, G.M., JR., B.L. LIPSCOMB, & R.J. O'KENNON. 1999. Shinners and Mahler's illustrated flora of north central Texas. Sida, Bot. Misc. 16. Botanical Research Institute of Texas, Fort Worth, Texas, U.S.A.

DORE, W.G. 1961. A centennial floristic census of Prescott, Ontario. Trans. R. Can. Inst. 33:49–115.

EATON, A. 1836. Manual of the botany of North America. Oliver Steele, Albany, New York, U.S.A.

ERDEMOGLU, N., N.N. TURANB, E.K. AKKOL, B. SENER, & N. ABACIOGLU. 2009. Estimation of anti-inflammatory, antinociceptive and antioxidant activities of Arctium minus (Hill) Bernh. ssp. minus. J. Ethnopharmacol. 121:318–323.

EURO+MED (2006–). Euro+Med PlantBase - the information resource for Euro-Mediterranean plant diversity. http://ww2. bgbm.org/EuroPlusMed/ [accessed 8 October 2018].

FERNALD, M.L. 1950. Gray's manual of botany. American Book Company, New York, New York, U.S.A.

FERNALD, M.L. & K.M. WIEGLAND. 1910. A synopsis of the species of Arctium in North America. Rhodora 12:43–47.

FERNALD, M.L., A.C. KINSEY, & R.C. ROLLINS. 1958. Edible wild plants of eastern North America. Harper and Row Publishers, New York, New York, U.S.A.

FERRON, M. & R. CAYOUTTE. 1975. Nom des mauvaises herbes du Québec. Agric. Québec. 3e ed. QA 38 R4-4. Québec, Canada.

FRANKTON, C. & G.A. MULLIGAN. 1970. Weeds of Canada. Can. Dept. Agric. Publ. 948. Can. Dept. Agric., Ottawa, Canada.

FUJITA, T., E. SEZIK, M. TABATA, E, YEŞILADA, G. HONDA, Y. TAKEDA, T. TANAKA, & Y. TAKAISHI. 1995. Traditional medicine used in Turkey VII. Folk medicine in middle and west Black Sea regions. Econ. Bot. 49:406–422.

GEORGIA, A. 1931. A manual of weeds. The Macmillan Company, New York, New York, U.S.A. 593 pp.

GLOBAL COMPOSITAE CHECKLIST. 2009. C. Flann, Ed. [Internet]. [Accessed: 8 October 2018]. Available from: www.compositae. org/checklist.

GOULD, F.W. 1962. Texas Plants—A checklist and ecological summary. MP-585, Texas Agricultural Experimental Station, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.

- GOULD, F.W. 1969. Texas plants—A checklist and ecological summary. MP-585/Revised, Texas Agricultural Experimental Station, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.
- GRAY, A. 1856. Manual of the botany of the northern United States, including Virginia, Kentucky, and all east of the Mississippi: arranged according to the natural system. Revised [2nd] ed. Ivison, Phinney & Co., Chicago, U.S.A.

GRAY, A. 1889. Manual of the botany of the northern United States. American Book Co., NewYork, U.S.A.

- GROSS, R.S., P.A. WERNER, & W.R. HAWTHORN. 1980. The biology of Canadian weeds 38. Arctium minus (Hill) Bernh. and A. lappa L. Can. J. Plant Sci. 60:621–634.
- HAGER, S.B., B. DZIADYK, & K.J. MCKAY. 2009. Bird-plant entanglement: a review and addition of the least flycatcher. Wilson J. Ornithol. 121:648–651.
- HANSEN, A. & P. SUNDING. 1993. Flora of Macaronesia. Checklist of vascular plants 4th ed. Sommerfeldia 17. Oslo, Norway.
- HATCH, S.L., K.N. GANDHI, & L.E. BROWN. 1990. Checklist of the vascular plants of Texas. Texas Agric. Exp. Stat. Pub. MP-1655, Texas A&M University, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.
- HEGI, G. 1929. Illustrierte flora von Mittel-Europa. Vol. 6, Part 2. JF Lehmans Verlag, Munich, Germany.
- HENDRICKS, P., J. CARLSON, & C. CURRIER. 2003. Fatal entanglement of western long-eared *Myotis* in burdock. Northwest. Nat. 84:44–45.
- HINAM, H.L., S.G. SEALY, & T.J UNDERWOOD. 2004. Ruby-throated hummingbird, Archilochus colubris, entanglements in burdock, Arctium spp., at Delta Marsh, Manitoba. Can. Field-Nat. 118:85–89.
- HULTÉN, E. & M. FRIES. 1986. Atlas of North European vascular plants north of the Tropic of Cancer. 3 vols. (1 text and 2 map-vols.). Koeltz, Königstein, Federal Republic of Germany.
- JOHNSON, P.B. 1933. Accidents to bats. J. Mammal. 14:156–157.
- JOHNSTON, M.C. 1990. The vascular plants of Texas. A list, up-dating the Manual of the Vascular Plants of Texas, 2nd ed. Published by the author, Austin, Texas, U.S.A.
- JOSSELYN, J. 1672. New England rarities discovered in birds, beasts, fishes, serpents and plants of that country. Printed for G. Widdowes at the Green Dragon, St. Paul's churchyard, London, U.K. (scanned edition, W. Junk, Berlin. 1926).
- KARTEZ, J.T. 2015. The biota of North America Program (BONAP). Taxonomic Data Center. (http://www.bonap.net/tdc). Chapel Hill, N.C. [maps generated from Kartez, J.T. 2015. Floristic Synthesis of North America, Version 1.0. Biota of North American Program (BONAP). (in press)]
- KEIL, D.J. 2006. Arctium (Asteraceae: Cynareae). In: Flora of America North of Mexico 19:168–171. New York, U.S.A., and Oxford, U.K. 20+ Vols.
- LEVETIN, E. & K. McMAHON. 2015. Plants of Society, 7th ed. McGraw-Hill Higher Education, New York, U.S.A.

LITTLE, L.T. 1925. Birds caught by burdocks. Auk 42:284.

- LYON, M.W., JR. 1925. Bats caught by burdocks. J. Mammal. 6:280.
- MACOUN, J. 1883. Catalogue of Canadian plants. Part I. Polypetalae. Dawson Brothers, Montreal, Québec, Canada.
- MARTIN, W.C. & C.R. HUTCHINS. 1980. A flora of New Mexico. Strauss & Cramer, Germany.
- McGREGOR, R.L. (COORD.), T.M. BARKLEY, (ED.), & W.T. BARKER. 1977. Atlas of the flora of the Great Plains. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.
- McNicHoll, M.K. 1988. Bats and birds stuck on burdock. Prairie Nat. 20:157–160.
- MINK, J.N., J.R. SINGHURST, & W.C. HOLMES. 2010. Dryopteris marginalis (Dryopteridaceae): new to Texas. Phytoneuron 53:1-6.
- MOORE, R.J. & C. FRANKTON. 1974. The thistles of Canada. Can. Dept. Agric. Monogr. No. 10. Can. Dept. Agric., Ottawa, Canada.
- NAWROCKI, T. 2010. Common burdock [*Arctium minus* (Hill) Bernh.]. Alaska Natural Heritage Program, Environment and Natural Resources Institute, University of Alaska Anchorage, Anchorage, Alaska, U.S.A.
- NEEDHAM, J.G. 1909. Kinglets captured by burdocks. Bird-Lore 11:261–262.
- NESOM, G.L. 2009. Notes on non-native Asteraceae in Texas. Phytologia 91:325–332.
- NSW FLORA ONLINE. 2018. National Herbarium of New South Wales. Sydney, Australia. [Accessed 6 June 2018]. Available: http://plantnet.rbgsyd.nsw.gov.au/
- PENHALLOW, D.P. 1897. A review of Canadian botany from 1800 to 1895. Royal Society of Canada Transactions, Sect. 4. Royal Soc. of Can., Ottawa, Canada.
- RADFORD, A.E., H.E. AHLES, & C.R. BELL. 1968. Manual of the vascular flora of the Carolinas. The University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, North Carolina, U.S.A.
- RALOFF, J. 1998. Botanical 'Velcro' entraps hummingbirds. Sci. News 154:244.
- ROUSSEAU, J. & M. RAYMOND. 1945. Études ethnobotaniques Québécoises. Contr. Inst. Bot. Univ. Montreal No. 55. Univ. of Montreal Inst. Bot., Québec, Canada.
- SHETLER, S.G. & L.E. SKOG. (EDS.) 1978. A provisional checklist of species for Flora North America. Missouri Botanical Garden Press, St. Louis, Missouri, U.S.A.
- SHISKIN, B.K. & E.G. BOBROV (EDS.). 1998. Flora of the USSR, Volume 27: Compositae: Tribes Echinopsideae, Cynareae. Amerind Publishing Co. Pvt. Ltd., New Delhi, India. Smithsonian Institution Libraries, Washington D.C., U.S.A.

This document is intended for digital-device reading only. Inquiries regarding distributable and open access versions may be directed to jbrit@brit.org. SMITH, E.B. 1988. An atlas and annotated list of the vascular plants of Arkansas, 2nd ed. University of Arkansas Press, Fayetteville, Arkansas, U.S.A.

STEYERMARK, J.A. 1963. Flora of Missouri. Iowa State University Press, Ames, Iowa, U.S.A.

- SWEARINGEN, J. & C. BARGERON. 2016. Invasive Plant Atlas of the United States. University of Georgia Center for Invasive Species and Ecosystem Health. [Accessed 31 May 2018]. Available: http://www.invasiveplantatlas.org/
- THOMAS, R.D. & C.M. ALLEN. 1993. Atlas of the vascular flora of Louisiana, vols. 1–3. Louisiana Department of Wildlife and Fisheries. Natural Heritage Program, Fort Worth, Texas, U.S.A.
- TURNER, B.L., H. NICHOLS, G. DENNY, & O. DORON. 2003. Atlas of the vascular plants of Texas. Vol. 1. Sida, Bot. Misc. 24. Botanical Research Institute of Texas, Fort Worth, Texas, U.S.A.
- TUTIN, T.G., V.H. HEYWOOD, N.A. BURGES, & D.H. VALENTINE. 1976. *Flora Europaea*, Vol. 4: Plantaginaceae to Compositae (and Rubiaceae). Cambridge University Press (Verlag), United Kingdom.

USDA. 1971. Common weeds of the United States. Dover Publications, Inc., New York, U.S.A.

- USDA, NRCS. 2018. The PLANTS Database (http://plants.usda.gov, 27 March 2018). National Plant Data Team, Greensboro, North Carolina, U.S.A.
- WEBB, C.J., W.R. SYKES, & P.J. GARNOCK-JONES. 1988. Flora of New Zealand. Vol. IV. Naturalised Pteridophytes, Gymnosperms, Dicotyledons. Botany Division DSIR, Christchurch, New Zealand.

YANOVSKY, E. 1936. Food plants of the North American Indians. U.S. Dept. Agric. Misc. Publ. 237.

YOUNGKEN, H.W. 1948. A textbook of pharmacognosy. 4th ed. Blakiston, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania, U.S.A.